arXiv:1403.3307v2 [math.SG] 31 Mar 2014

# WHEN IS A SYMPLECTIC QUOTIENT AN ORBIFOLD?

HANS-CHRISTIAN HERBIG, GERALD W. SCHWARZ, AND CHRISTOPHER SEATON

Abstract. Let $K$ be a compact Lie group of positive dimension. We show that for most unitary $K$-modules the corresponding symplectic quotient is not regularly symplectomorphic to a linear symplectic orbifold (the quotient of a unitary module of a finite group). When $K$ is connected, we show that even a symplectomorphism to a linear symplectic orbifold does not exist. Our results yield conditions that preclude the symplectic quotient of a Hamiltonian $K$-manifold from being locally isomorphic to an orbifold. As an application, we determine which unitary $\mathrm{SU}_2$-modules yield symplectic quotients that are $\mathbb{Z}$-graded regularly symplectomorphic to a linear symplectic orbifold. We similarly determine which unitary circle representations yield symplectic quotients that admit a regular diffeomorphism to a linear symplectic orbifold.

## Contents

1. Introduction 1
Acknowledgements 4
2. Background 4
3. Symplectic quotients associated to 2-principal actions 6
4. Symplectic quotients by $\mathbb{S}^1$ 8
5. Symplectic quotients by $\mathrm{SU}_2$ 10
References 13

## 1. Introduction

It has been observed that occasionally, symplectic quotients can be identified with orbifolds (see e.g. [9, 17, 8]). In this paper we present results that indicate that this situation is rather the exception than the rule. We mostly restrict our attention to the case where the symplectic quotient, respectively finite quotient, comes from a unitary representation on a hermitian, finite dimensional vector space.

A symplectic quotient is equipped in a canonical way with an algebra of smooth functions, the so-called *smooth structure*. This algebra carries a canonical Poisson bracket. In the case of a unitary representation, this Poisson algebra contains the algebra of *regular functions* on the symplectic quotient as a Poisson subalgebra.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53D20, 13A50; Secondary 57S15, 57S17, 20G20.

*Key words and phrases.* symplectic reduction, circle representations, $\mathrm{SU}_2$-representations, orbifolds.

The first author has been supported by the grant GA CR P201/12/G028. The third author was supported by a Rhodes College Faculty Development Grant as well as the E.C. Ellett Professorship in Mathematics.

The algebra of regular functions can be described as an affine $\mathbb{R}$-algebra by means of invariant theory. As we consider only reduction at the zero level of the moment map, the Poisson algebra of regular functions is actually $\mathbb{N}$-graded, the Poisson bracket being of degree $-2$. The algebra of regular functions can typically not be used to fully recover the symplectic quotient, but rather its Zariski closure.

By the *Lifting Theorem* [8, Theorem 6], a regular (Poisson) map between the Zariski closures of symplectic quotients can be lifted in a unique way to a (Poisson) algebra morphism between the algebras of smooth functions as long as it is compatible with the Hilbert embeddings, i.e. respects the inequalities defining the symplectic quotients as subsets of their Zariski closures, see [8, Definition 6(ii)]. Note that these inequalities are the restrictions of those describing the orbit spaces, see [21]. A *regular* (Poisson) map between symplectic quotients is a smooth (Poisson) map that arises as such a lift. Apart from symplectomorphisms, we will consider various notions of equivalence between symplectic quotients that are based on this Lifting Theorem, namely graded regular symplectomorphism and regular diffeomorphism. For a more detailed exposition of these notions we refer the reader to Section 2.

A natural idea that can be used when studying a unitary representation $K \to \mathrm{U}(V)$ of a compact Lie group $K$ on a hermitian vector space $V$ is to complexify. That is, to observe that the representation extends to $G := K_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$ (see e.g [24]). Note that the notation $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ will always denote $\mathrm{GL}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$. Our results are based on assuming properties for $V$ as a $G$-module. We borrow the notions of $V$ being *$k$-principal* or *$k$-large* from [25]. See Definition 2.1. Roughly speaking, one can say that these conditions hold typically. For instance, in the case of $G$ connected and simple and $G$-modules $V$ with $V^G = \{0\}$, all but finitely many isomorphism classes are 2-large (cf. [25, Corollary 11.6]). Another condition that almost always holds is that $V$ has an open set of closed orbits. In this case, we say that $V$ is *stable*.

With these notions we are ready to formulate our first result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let $K$ be a compact Lie group and $V$ a unitary $K$-module. Assume that the image of $K$ in $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ is connected and positive dimensional and that the action of $G$ on $V$ is 2-principal and stable. Then there does not exist a symplectomorphism between the symplectic quotient $M_0$ and a linear symplectic orbifold.*

We observe that the theorem does not require the symplectomorphisms to be regular. Now suppose that $X$ is a Hamiltonian $K$-manifold and that $x \in M$, the zero set of the moment mapping. Then we have the symplectic slice $(W, K_x)$ (see [12, §6] and references therein) and the symplectic quotient $M_0$ of $X$ near the image $x_0$ of $x$ is isomorphic to the symplectic quotient $N_0$ of $W$ by $K_x$.

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let $X$, $M_0$ etc. be as above. Suppose that the image of $K_x$ in $\mathrm{GL}(W)$ is connected and positive dimensional and that the action of $(K_x)_{\mathbb{C}}$ on $W$ is stable and 2-principal. Then $M_0$ is not symplectomorphic to a linear symplectic orbifold in a neighborhood of $x_0$.*

In the case that the image of $K$ in $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ is not connected, we have the following, which under slightly stronger hypotheses precludes the existence of a regular symplectomorphism to a linear orbifold.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let $K$ be a compact Lie group and $V$ a unitary $K$-module. Assume that the image of $K$ in $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ is positive dimensional and that the action of $G$ on*

*$V$ is 2-large. Then there does not exist a regular symplectomorphism between the symplectic quotient $M_0$ and a linear symplectic orbifold.*

In fact, when the action of $G$ on $V$ is 2-large (or even 1-large), the real analytic ideal of the zero set of the moment map is generated by the component functions of the moment map, see [12, Corollary 4.3]. The proof of Theorem 1.3 can be used to show that, with the same hypotheses, there can be no germ of a real analytic symplectomorphism from a neighborhood of the origin of $M_0$ (the image of 0 in $V$) to a linear symplectic orbifold. Applying the symplectic slice theorem and the fact that any symplectic $K$-manifold admits a unique structure as a real analytic symplectic $K$-manifold [16], we have the following.

**Corollary 1.4.** *Let $X$, $M_0$ etc. be as in Corollary 1.2. Suppose that the image of $K_x$ in $\mathrm{GL}(W)$ is positive dimensional and that the action of $(K_x)_{\mathbb{C}}$ on $W$ is 2-large. Then $M_0$ is not real analytically symplectomorphic to a linear symplectic orbifold in a neighborhood of $x_0$.*

The next theorem addresses circle representations and applies to representations whose complexifications are not 2-principle.

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let $K = \mathbb{S}^1$. Let $V$ be a unitary $K$-module with $V^K = \{0\}$ such that the corresponding symplectic quotient $M_0$ has real dimension at least 4. Then there does not exist a regular diffeomorphism between $M_0$ and a linear symplectic orbifold.*

In [14], a weaker version of Theorem 4 was presented, demonstrating that $M_0$ cannot admit a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded regular symplectomorphism to a linear symplectic orbifold.

In the case that $K = \mathbb{S}^1$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(M_0) = 2$, an explicit $\mathbb{Z}$-graded regular symplectomorphism was given in [8, Section 4.3] between $M_0$ and the orbifold $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}_m$ where $m$ can be computed from the weights. Combining these results with Theorem 1.5 yields a complete answer to the question of which $\mathbb{S}^1$-linear symplectic quotients admit a regular diffeomorphism to a linear symplectic orbifold. Moreover, it follows that an $\mathbb{S}^1$-linear symplectic quotient admits a regular diffeomorphism to a linear symplectic orbifold if and only if it admits a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded regular symplectomorphism to a linear symplectic orbifold.

Finally, in the case of $K = \mathrm{SU}_2$, we are also able to give a complete result. In this case, the task of working through the list of non-2-principle cases is not too demanding. Recall that the irreducible modules of $K = \mathrm{SU}_2$ are given by the spaces $R_d$ of binary forms of degree $d$. We demonstrate the following.

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let $K = \mathrm{SU}_2$, and let $V$ be a nontrivial unitary $K$-module with $V^K = \{0\}$. Then the corresponding symplectic quotient $M_0$ is graded regularly symplectomorphic to a linear symplectic orbifold if and only if $V$ is isomorphic to $R_1$, $R_1 \oplus R_1$, $R_2$, $R_3$, or $R_4$.*

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we fix notation and recall the definitions and results we will need. In Section 3, we consider $K$-modules $V$ such that the action of $G$ on $V$ is 2-principal or 2-large and prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.3. In Section 4, we consider the case $K = \mathbb{S}^1$ and prove Theorem 1.5. We restrict our attention to the case $K = \mathrm{SU}_2$ in Section 5 and inspect each of the representations that are not addressed by Theorem 1.1, see Equation (5.1), proving Theorem 1.6.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Reyer Sjamaar for facilitating this collaboration and Leonid Bedratyuk for assistance in computing the invariants of $\mathrm{SL}_2$.

## 2. Background

We use the following notation throughout this paper. Let $K$ be a compact Lie group and let $V$ be a unitary $K$-module. Let $J\colon V \to \mathfrak{k}^*$ denote the homogeneous quadratic moment map where $\mathfrak{k}$ denotes the Lie algebra of $K$ and $\mathfrak{k}^*$ its dual, and let $M := J^{-1}(0)$ denote the zero-fiber of $J$. The symplectic quotient of $V$ by $K$ is given by $M_0 := M/K$. This space is a *symplectic stratified space*, see [26], where the stratification is by orbit type. It is a *differential space* with smooth structure given by $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M_0) := \mathcal{C}^\infty(V)^K/\mathcal{I}_M^K$ where $\mathcal{I}_M$ denotes the ideal of smooth functions vanishing on $M$ and $\mathcal{I}_M^K := \mathcal{I}_M \cap \mathcal{C}^\infty(V)^K$. The algebra $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M_0)$ inherits a Poisson bracket $\{\,,\,\}$ from $\mathcal{C}^\infty(V)$ with respect to which $(M_0, \mathcal{C}^\infty(M_0), \{\,,\,\})$ is a *Poisson differential space*, see [8, Definition 5]. We define the *(Poisson) algebra of regular functions on* $M_0$, denoted $\mathbb{R}[M_0]$, to be the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded (Poisson) subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M_0)$ given by the image of $\mathbb{R}[V]^K \subset \mathcal{C}^\infty(V)^K$ via the quotient map $\mathcal{C}^\infty(V)^K \to \mathcal{C}^\infty(V)^K/\mathcal{I}_M^K$. Let $\mathcal{J}$ denote the vanishing ideal of $J^{-1}(0)$ in $\mathbb{R}[V]$, and then $\mathbb{R}[M_0]$ is given by $\mathbb{R}[V]^K/\mathcal{J}^K$ where $\mathcal{J}^K := \mathcal{J} \cap \mathbb{R}[V]^K$. Note that this coincides with defining the regular functions on $M_0$ in terms of a *global chart* defined using a minimal generating set for $\mathbb{R}[V]^K$; see [8, Definition 7].

A *diffeomorphism* between differential spaces $(M_0, \mathcal{C}^\infty(M_0))$ and $(N_0, \mathcal{C}^\infty(N_0))$ is a homeomorphism $\chi\colon M_0 \to N_0$ such that pull-back via $\chi$ induces an isomorphism $\chi^*\colon \mathcal{C}^\infty(N_0) \to \mathcal{C}^\infty(M_0)$. A *symplectomorphism* between Poisson differential spaces is a diffeomorphism $\chi$ such that $\chi^*$ is an isomorphism of Poisson algebras. If $M_0$ and $N_0$ are symplectic quotients of unitary representations equipped with algebras of regular functions as above, then we say that a diffeomorphism (or symplectomorphism) is *regular* if $\chi^*$ restricts to an isomorphism $\mathbb{R}[N_0] \to \mathbb{R}[M_0]$ and *$\mathbb{Z}$-graded* if this isomorphism preserves the $\mathbb{Z}$-gradings of $\mathbb{R}[N_0]$ and $\mathbb{R}[M_0]$. It is easy to see that a ($\mathbb{Z}$-graded) regular symplectomorphism induces an isomorphism between global charts defined in terms of minimal generating sets as above, and hence that these definitions coincide with those given in [8, Section 4]. The analogs of the above concepts can be defined for the real analytic structure on $M_0$ defined in terms of the real analytic functions on $V$.

A *linear symplectic orbifold* is a quotient $W/H$ where $H$ is a finite group and $W$ is a unitary $H$-module. Note that in this case, the moment map is trivial so that $W/H$ is the corresponding symplectic quotient. By a *linear orbifold*, we mean a quotient $W/H$ where $H$ is finite and $W$ is a (real) $H$-module.

Let $G := K_{\mathbb{C}}$ denote the complexification of $K$, and then $V$ is as well a $G$-module. Let $Z := V/\!\!/G = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[V]^G)$ denote the GIT quotient. Then there is a Zariski open dense subset $Z_{\mathrm{pr}}$ such that the corresponding closed orbits in $V$ have isotropy group conjugate to a fixed reductive subgroup $H$ of $G$. We call $H$ a *principal isotropy group* of $V$ and we denote the inverse image of $Z_{\mathrm{pr}}$ in $V$ by $V_{\mathrm{pr}}$. We say that $V$ has *FPIG* (resp. *TPIG*) if the principal isotropy group $H$ is finite (resp. trivial). We say that $V$ is *stable* if there is an open dense subset of closed orbits. Equivalently, $V_{\mathrm{pr}}$ consists of closed orbits. Note that FPIG implies stable.

We recall the following; see [25, Section 0.3]. For a $G$-variety $X$, we let $X_{(\ell)}$ denote the set of points $x \in X$ such that the isotropy group $G_x$ has complex

dimension $\ell$ and define the *modularity* $\operatorname{mod}(X,G)$ to be the maximum of $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X_{(\ell)} - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} G + \ell$.

**Definition 2.1.** Let $G$ be a complex reductive group and $V$ a $G$-module. We say that $V$ is *$k$-principal* if the complex codimension of $V \smallsetminus V_{\mathrm{pr}}$ is at least $k$. We say that $V$ is *$k$-modular* if it has FPIG and $\operatorname{mod}(V \smallsetminus V_{(0)}, G) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V/\!\!/G - k$. This is equivalent to the condition that $V$ has FPIG and $\operatorname{codim} V_{(\ell)} \geq k + \ell$ for all $\ell \geq 1$, see [25, Remark 9.6(3)]. If $V$ is both $k$-principal and $k$-modular, we say it is *$k$-large*.

Note that the definition of $k$-principal differs slightly from that of [25] in that we do not require that $V$ has FPIG.

*Remark* 2.2. Let $V$ be a 2-principal $G$-module. If $V$ is stable, then the principal isotropy group $H$ is the kernel of $G \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$ [25, Corollary 7.7(2)]. Hence if $G$ acts faithfully on $V$, then $V$ has TPIG.

Let $(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ denote a choice of complex coordinates for $V$. Using real coordinates $(z_1, \ldots, z_n, \overline{z_1}, \ldots, \overline{z_n})$, the action of $k \in K$ is given by $\operatorname{diag}(k, (k^{-1})^t)$. Complexifying the underlying real structure yields $V_{\mathbb{C}} := V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ which is isomorphic as a $K$-module to $V \oplus V^*$. We let $G$ act on $V \oplus V^*$ as the complexification of the action of $K$. Using the corresponding complex coordinates $(z_1, \ldots, z_n, w_1, \ldots, w_n)$ for $V_{\mathbb{C}}$, the real points of $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ are those such that $\overline{z_i} = w_i$ for each $i$. Note that the natural isomorphism $\mathbb{R}[V] \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{C}[V_{\mathbb{C}}]$ restricts to an isomorphism from $\mathbb{R}[V]^K \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ to $\mathbb{C}[V_{\mathbb{C}}]^G$; see [23, Proposition 5.8].

Let

$$J_{\mathbb{C}} \colon V \oplus V^* \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \tag{2.1}$$

denote the complexification of the moment map, where $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus i\mathfrak{k}$ is the Lie algebra of $G$. Let $N := J_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(0) \subset V \oplus V^*$, and let $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{C}}$ denote the vanishing ideal of $N$ in $\mathbb{C}[V \oplus V^*]$. Considering $V$ as a subset of $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ as above, we have by [12, Corollaries 4.2 and 4.3] that if $V$ is 1-large as a $G$-module, then $M = J^{-1}(0)$ is Zariski dense in $N$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is generated by the component functions of $J$. Then as $K$ is Zariski dense in $G$, it follows that $\mathbb{R}[M_0] \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[V \oplus V^*]^G / \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{C}}^G$ where $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{C}}^G := \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathbb{C}[V \oplus V^*]^G$. Hence, $\mathbb{R}[M_0] \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ is the coordinate ring of the complex algebraic variety $N/\!\!/G$, which we refer to as the *complex symplectic quotient*.

**Lemma 2.3.** *If $G$ acts nontrivially and $V/\!\!/G$ is not a point, then $\mathbb{C}[V \oplus V^*]^G / \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{C}}^G$ is not a polynomial algebra. Hence if $V$ is 1-large as a $G$-module, then $\mathbb{R}[M_0]$ is not a polynomial algebra.*

*Proof.* As $J$ is real, it is easy to see that each component of $J_{\mathbb{C}}$ is contained in the kernels of the projections $\mathbb{C}[V \oplus V^*] \to \mathbb{C}[V]$ and $\mathbb{C}[V \oplus V^*] \to \mathbb{C}[V^*]$. Therefore, $\mathbb{C}[V \oplus V^*]^G / \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{C}}^G$ contains both $\mathbb{C}[V]^G$ and $\mathbb{C}[V^*]^G$, which generate a subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of dimension $2 \dim V/\!\!/G$. However, as the image of the invariant dual pairing in $\mathbb{C}[V \oplus V^*]^G / \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{C}}^G$ is easily seen to not be an element of $\mathcal{A}$, it follows that the number of minimal generators of $\mathbb{C}[V \oplus V^*]^G / \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{C}}^G$ is greater than its dimension. □

To conclude this section, we demonstrate the following elementary fact that we will use several times in the sequel.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let $U$ be a piecewise linear $n$-dimensional submanifold of a real vector space with $n \geq 4$. Let $C$ be a real algebraic subset of $U$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} C \leq n - 4$. Let $\mathcal{O} := U \smallsetminus C$ and choose a base point in $\mathcal{O}$. Then the inclusion $\mathcal{O} \to U$ induces isomorphisms $\pi_1(\mathcal{O}) \simeq \pi_1(U)$ and $\pi_2(\mathcal{O}) \simeq \pi_2(U)$.*

*Proof.* Let $k \in \{1,2\}$, let $f\colon \mathbb{S}^k \to \mathcal{O}$ be a continuous map from the $k$-sphere into $\mathcal{O}$ that extends to a map $\overline{f}\colon \mathbb{D}^{k+1} \to U$ on the unit disk. Up to homotopy, we may assume that $\overline{f}$ is piecewise linear so that $P := \overline{f}(\mathbb{D}^{k+1})$ is a polyhedron with subpolyhedron $P_0 := f(\mathbb{S}^k)$. Note that we may assume that $C$ is a polyhedron as well by [20, Theorem 2.12]. Then by [22, Section 5.3], there is an isotopy of $U$ that fixes $P_0$ and finishes with a map $h\colon U \to U$ such that $h(P-P_0)\cap C = \emptyset$. Therefore, $P \subset \mathcal{O}$, from which we conclude that $f$ is trivial in $\pi_k(\mathcal{O})$. Hence $\pi_k(\mathcal{O}) \to \pi_k(U)$ is injective. Similarly, given $f\colon \mathbb{S}^k \to U$ we may find a homotopy to an $f'\colon \mathbb{S}^k \to \mathcal{O}$ preserving the base point. Hence $\pi_k(\mathcal{O}) \simeq \pi_k(U)$. □

## 3. Symplectic quotients associated to 2-principal actions

In this section, we demonstrate Theorem 1.1, that if $K$ has connected, positive dimensional image in $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ and $V$ is a 2-principal stable $(G = K_{\mathbb{C}})$-module, then the corresponding symplectic quotient $M_0$ does not admit a symplectomorphism to a linear symplectic orbifold. We also demonstrate Theorem 1.3, which applies to the case that the image of $K$ in $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ is not connected and indicates hypotheses under which $M_0$ does not admit a regular symplectomorphism to a linear symplectic orbifold. First, we make some observations about the associated Hilbert embedding in the general context introduced in Section 2.

Let $V$ be a $G$-module. Choose a minimal homogeneous generating set $p_1, \dots, p_d$ for $\mathbb{C}[V]^G$, let $p = (p_1, \dots, p_d)\colon V \to \mathbb{C}^d$ denote the corresponding Hilbert map, and identify the image $p(V) \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ of $p$ with the GIT quotient $Z := V/\!\!/G$. Then the restriction of $p$ to $M$ induces the *Kempf-Ness homeomorphism* $\overline{p}\colon M/K \to Z$; see [15, 24]. We recall the following.

**Lemma 3.1.** *The mapping $\overline{p}\colon M/K \to V/\!\!/G$ is an orbit type stratum-preserving homeomorphism where the orbit type in $M/K$ corresponding to the isotropy group $L \leq K$ is mapped to the orbit type in $V/\!\!/G$ corresponding to the isotropy group $L_{\mathbb{C}} \leq G$, the complexification of $L$.*

*Proof.* By [7, Proposition 1.3(ii)], we have that if $v \in M$, then $G_v = (K_v)_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then by [23, Proposition 5.8(3)], the $G$-conjugacy classes of $G$-isotropy groups coincide with the $K$-conjugacy classes of $K$-isotropy groups. □

Now assume that the action of $G$ on $V$ is 2-principal and stable. Then $Z \smallsetminus Z_{\mathrm{pr}}$ has complex codimension 2 in $Z$, see [25, (Section 6.2 and Remark 9.6(4)]. Letting $(M/K)_{\mathrm{pr}}$ denote the set of orbits in $M/K$ with principal isotropy, Lemma 3.1 implies that $\overline{p}$ restricts to a homeomorphism $(M/K)_{\mathrm{pr}} \to Z_{\mathrm{pr}}$, from which we have the following.

**Corollary 3.2.** *Suppose that the action of $G$ on $V$ is 2-principal and stable. Then $(M/K) \smallsetminus (M/K)_{\mathrm{pr}}$ has real codimension at least 4.*

Similarly, we have the following.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Suppose that the action of $G$ on $V$ is 2-principal and stable. Then $\pi_1((M/K)_{\mathrm{pr}}) \simeq \pi_0(G) \simeq \pi_0(K)$.*

*Proof.* By Remark 2.2, replacing $G$ by its image in $\mathrm{GL}(V)$, we reduce to the case that $V$ has TPIG. Then $V_{\mathrm{pr}} \to Z_{\mathrm{pr}}$ is a principal $G$-bundle, see [27, Theorem 6.10(3)]. From the exact sequence of a fibration, we obtain the exact sequence

$$\pi_1(V_{\mathrm{pr}}) \to \pi_1(Z_{\mathrm{pr}}) \to \pi_0(G) \to \pi_0(V_{\mathrm{pr}}).$$

By Lemma 2.4, $\pi_1(V_{\mathrm{pr}}) \simeq \pi_1(V)$ is trivial, and $\pi_0(V_{\mathrm{pr}})$ is clearly trivial as well so that $\pi_1(Z_{\mathrm{pr}}) \to \pi_0(G)$ is a bijection. As $(M/K)_{\mathrm{pr}}$ is homeomorphic to $Z_{\mathrm{pr}}$ by Lemma 3.1, the claim follows. □

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* We again apply Remark 2.2 to reduce to the case that $V$ has TPIG. Assume that there is a symplectomorphism $\chi\colon M_0 \to W/H$ where $H$ is a finite group and $W$ a unitary $H$-module. Let $Y := W/H$, and then as $\chi$ is a symplectomorphism and hence preserves connected components of orbit type strata by [26, Proposition 3.3], we have $\chi((M/K)_{\mathrm{pr}}) = Y_{\mathrm{pr}}$ is the set of principal orbits of $Y$. It follows from Corollary 3.2 that $Y \smallsetminus Y_{\mathrm{pr}}$ has real codimension at least 4 in $Y$ and hence that $W \smallsetminus W_{\mathrm{pr}}$ has real codimension at least 4 in $W$, where $W_{\mathrm{pr}}$ denotes the set of principal orbits. By Lemma 2.4, $\pi_1(W_{\mathrm{pr}})$ is trivial, and hence $\pi_1(Y_{\mathrm{pr}}) \simeq H$.

Recalling that $\chi$ restricts to a homeomorphism from $(M/K)_{\mathrm{pr}}$ to $Y_{\mathrm{pr}}$, it follows that $\pi_1((M/K)_{\mathrm{pr}}) = \pi_1(Y_{\mathrm{pr}}) = H$. As $(M/K)_{\mathrm{pr}}$ is simply connected by Lemma 3.3 and the fact that the image of $K$ in $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ is connected, it follows that $H$ is trivial. But then $Y_{\mathrm{pr}} = Y$ so that $M/K = (M/K)_{\mathrm{pr}}$, implying that $K$ acts trivially on $V$. This yields a contradiction, completing the proof. □

*Remark* 3.4. We observe that the proof of Theorem 1.1 demonstrates the stronger fact that with the same hypotheses, even an orbit type stratum-preserving homeomorphism to a linear orbifold, which need not be symplectic, does not exist.

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* As above, we reduce to the case that $V$ has TPIG by Remark 2.2. Assume there is a regular symplectomorphism $\chi\colon M_0 \to W/H$ with $H$ finite and $W$ a unitary $H$-module, and then we have a Poisson isomorphism $\chi^*\colon \mathbb{R}[W]^H \to \mathbb{R}[M_0]$. As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, $\chi$ preserves connected components of orbit type strata and hence restricts to a regular diffeomorphism $(M/K)_{\mathrm{pr}} \to (W/H)_{\mathrm{pr}}$. Similarly, as $(M/K) \smallsetminus (M/K)_{\mathrm{pr}}$ has real codimension at least four in $M/K$ so that $W \smallsetminus W_{\mathrm{pr}}$ has real codimension at least four in $W$, we have again that $W_{\mathrm{pr}}$ is simply connected and $\pi_1((W/H)_{\mathrm{pr}}) \simeq H$. By Lemma 3.3, $\pi_1((M/K)_{\mathrm{pr}}) \simeq \pi_0(K)$. Since $(M/K)_{\mathrm{pr}}$ and $(W/H)_{\mathrm{pr}}$ are homeomorphic, we have that $\Gamma := \pi_0(K) \simeq H$.

Recall from Section 2 that by [12, Corollary 4.2], $M$ is Zariski dense in $N$ so that tensoring with $\mathbb{C}$ yields an isomorphism $\chi_{\mathbb{C}}^*\colon \mathbb{C}[W \oplus W^*]^H \to \mathbb{C}[V \oplus V^*]^G/\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{C}}^G$. Letting $X := N/\!\!/G$ denote the complex symplectic quotient and $Y := (W \oplus W^*)/H$, we then have an isomorphism $\chi_{\mathbb{C}}\colon X \to Y$. The complexifications of the symplectic forms on $W$ and $V$ yield (complex) symplectic forms on $W \oplus W^*$ and $V \oplus V^*$, respectively, and one checks that the corresponding Poisson brackets on $\mathbb{C}[W \oplus W^*]^H$ and $\mathbb{C}[V \oplus V^*]^G$ are the complexifications of the respective Poisson brackets on $\mathbb{R}[W]^H$ and $\mathbb{R}[V]^K$. Moreover, the ideals of $M$ and $N$ are generated by the component functions of the appropriate $J$ by [12, Corollary 4.3] so the Poisson bracket on $\mathbb{C}[V \oplus V^*]^G$ induces a well-defined Poisson bracket on $\mathbb{C}[V \oplus V^*]^G/\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{C}}^G$ with respect to which $\chi_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ is by construction a Poisson isomorphism.

We claim that the respective Poisson structures on $\mathbb{C}[V \oplus V^*]^G/\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{C}}^G$ and $\mathbb{C}[W \oplus W^*]^H$ determine $X_{\mathrm{pr}}$ and $Y_{\mathrm{pr}}$, which we demonstrate using techniques similar to those of [2]. Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[V \oplus V^*]^G$ and let $(v, w) \in N$ with closed $G$-orbit and isotropy group $L$. Then $df(v, w)$ is fixed by $L$ so that the Hamiltonian vector field of $f$ is tangent to $(V \oplus V^*)^L$ at $(v, w)$. If $(v, w)$ has (trivial) principal isotropy group, then the differentials of the components of the moment map are linearly independent

at $(v,w)$, which is therefore a smooth point of $N$. Then the slice representation at $(v,w)$ is trivial, see [19], so that the Hamiltonian vector fields span the tangent space of $X$ at the orbit of $(v,w)$. It follows that the Hamiltonian flows do not leave $X_{\rm pr}$, and every pair of points in $X_{\rm pr}$ can be connected by broken flow lines so that $X_{\rm pr}$ is determined by the Poisson structure on $\mathbb{C}[V\oplus V^*]^G/\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{C}}^G$. Similarly, the Poisson structure on $\mathbb{C}[W\oplus W^*]^H$ determines $Y_{\rm pr}$ so that $\chi_{\mathbb{C}}$ restricts to an isomorphism $X_{\rm pr}\to Y_{\rm pr}$.

Now, by inspecting the action of $H$ on $W\oplus W^*$, it is easy to see that $(W\oplus W^*)\smallsetminus (W\oplus W^*)_{\rm pr}$ has real codimension at least 4 in $W\oplus W^*$. Therefore, $(W\oplus W^*)_{\rm pr}$ is simply connected by Lemma 2.3. Then $(W\oplus W^*)_{\rm pr}\to Y_{\rm pr}$ is a principal $H$-bundle and hence the universal covering of $Y_{\rm pr}$ so that $\pi_1(Y_{\rm pr})\simeq H$. As $Y_{\rm pr}$ and $X_{\rm pr}$ are homeomorphic, $\pi_1(X_{\rm pr})\simeq H$ as well.

Let $G^0$ denote the connected component of the identity in $G$, let $X_0:=N/\!\!/G^0$, let $N_{\rm pr}$ denote the union of the principal orbits of $N$ as a $G$-variety, and let $(X_0)_{\rm pr}:=N_{\rm pr}/\!\!/G^0$. Then $(X_0)_{\rm pr}\to X_{\rm pr}$ is a principal $\Gamma$-bundle and hence a covering space. Therefore, there is a covering map $\phi$ that makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X_0)_{\rm pr} & \xleftarrow{\ \phi\ } & (W\oplus W^*)_{\rm pr} \\ {\scriptstyle /\Gamma}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle /H} \\ X_{\rm pr} & \xrightarrow{\ \chi_{\mathbb{C}}\ } & Y_{\rm pr} \end{array}$$

However, recalling that $\Gamma\simeq H$, it follows that $\phi$ is an isomorphism.

By [12, Theorem 2.2], $N$ is normal so that $X_0$ is normal by [5, Proposition 5.4.1]. The codimension of $(X_0)\smallsetminus(X_0)_{\rm pr}$ is at least two, and thus $\phi$ extends to an isomorphism $W\oplus W^*\to X_0$. Then clearly, $X_0$ is smooth. However, noting that the moment map of the $K$-action on $V$ coincides with that of the $K^0$-action, $X_0$ is the complex symplectic quotient associated to the $K^0$-module $V$. This contradicts Lemma 2.3 and completes the proof. □

## 4. Symplectic quotients by $\mathbb{S}^1$

Throughout this section, we restrict to $K=\mathbb{S}^1$ so that $G=\mathbb{C}^\times$ and we assume that $n:=\dim_{\mathbb{C}}V\geq 3$. Choose a basis for $V$ with respect to which the $\mathbb{S}^1$-action is diagonal. Then we may identify $V$ with $\mathbb{C}^n$ and describe the action of $G$ with the *weight vector* $A=(a_1,\dots,a_n)$. We assume with no loss of generality that each weight $a_i$ is nonzero, for otherwise we may decompose $V$ into a product with a trivial module and restrict to the nontrivial factor. We may assume $V$ is stable as a $G$-module, for if not, then all weights have the same sign and $V/\!\!/G$ (and hence $M_0$) is a point. Similarly, we assume that $\gcd(a_1,\dots,a_n)=1$, for otherwise we may replace $K$ with $K/(\mathbb{Z}/\gcd(a_1,\dots,a_n)\mathbb{Z})$; see [14]. As $V$ is stable, this latter assumption amounts to assuming that $V$ has TPIG as a $G$-module. It follows by [12, Theorem 3.2] that the $G$-module $V$ is 1-large.

Identifying the dual $\mathfrak{k}^*$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$ with $\mathbb{R}$, the moment map $J$ is given by $J(z_1,\dots,z_n)=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n a_i z_i\overline{z_i}$, see [11]. Note that if $A$ contains at least two positive and two negative weights, then $M_0$ is not a rational homology manifold and cannot be homeomorphic to a symplectic orbifold; see [11, Proposition 3.1] or [8, Theorems 3 and 4]. Otherwise, as $A$ has $n-1$ weights of the same sign, the

null cone has complex codimension 1 in $V$, which is therefore not 2-principal as a $G$-module, and Theorem 1.1 does not apply.

Recall that $\mathcal{J}$ denotes the vanishing ideal of $M = J^{-1}(0)$ in $\mathbb{R}[V]$. As not all weights have the same sign, $\mathcal{J}$ is generated by $J$, see [1, 11]; this follows as well from [12, Corollary 4.3] and the fact that the $G$-module $V$ is 1-large. Note that the moment map is $K$-invariant so that $\mathcal{J}^K$ is as well generated by $J$ in this case. It follows that the algebra $\mathbb{R}[M_0]$ of real regular functions is given by $\mathbb{R}[V]^K/J\cdot\mathbb{R}[V]^K$.

Using complex coordinates $(\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{w}) := (z_1,\dots,z_n,w_1,\dots,w_n)$ for $V_{\mathbb{C}} \simeq V\oplus V^*$ as in Section 2, the weight vector for the $G$-module $V\oplus V^*$ is $(a_1,\dots,a_n,-a_1,\dots,-a_n)$. Similarly, the complexified moment map $J_{\mathbb{C}}\colon\ V\oplus V^* \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is given by

$$(\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{w}) \longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i z_i w_i \tag{4.1}$$

Recall that $N := J_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(0) \subset V\oplus V^*$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the vanishing ideal of $N$ in $\mathbb{C}[V\oplus V^*]$. As $V$ is 1-large, we have by [12, Theorem 2.2] that $N$ is irreducible. Moreover, $\mathbb{R}[M_0]\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}$ is isomorphic to the coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[V\oplus V^*]^G/\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{C}}^G$ of the complex symplectic quotient $X := N/\!\!/G$, see Section 2. Let $X' := (V\oplus V^*)/\!\!/G$.

Let $(V\oplus V^*)_{\mathrm{pr}}$ and $X'_{\mathrm{pr}} \subset X'$ denote the sets of principal orbits. Let $N_{\mathrm{pr}} := N\cap(V\oplus V^*)_{\mathrm{pr}}$, and set $X_{\mathrm{pr}} := N_{\mathrm{pr}}/\!\!/G$. For an algebraic variety $Y$, let $Y_{\mathrm{sm}}$ denote the smooth points of $Y$.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let $K=\mathbb{S}^1$ and assume that the unitary $K$-module $V$ has TPIG as a $G=K_{\mathbb{C}}$-module and $n=\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V \geq 3$. Then $N\smallsetminus N_{\mathrm{pr}}$ has complex codimension at least 2 in $N$, and $X\smallsetminus X_{\mathrm{pr}}$ has complex codimension at least 2 in $X$. Moreover, $X_{\mathrm{sm}} = X_{\mathrm{pr}}$.*

*Proof.* Since the weight vector for the $G$-action on $V\oplus V^*$ has $n$ positive and $n$ negative weights, the null cone has complex codimension $n\geq 3$. Hence it intersects $N$ in codimension at least two. Let $L$ be the isotropy group of a nonprincipal nonzero closed orbit in $N$. Then its fixed point set is of the form $U\oplus U^*$ where $U$ is the span of $m$ of the $z_i$, $1\leq m\leq n-1$. If $m<n-1$, then $V^L$ intersects $N$ in codimension at least three. If $m=n-1$, then as $J_{\mathbb{C}}$ restricted to $V^L$ is nontrivial, $V^L\cap N$ has codimension at least two in $N$. Hence $N\smallsetminus N_{\mathrm{pr}}$ has codimension at least two in $N$. Since the inverse image of $X\smallsetminus X_{\mathrm{pr}}$ is $N\smallsetminus N_{\mathrm{pr}}$, we must have that $X\smallsetminus X_{\mathrm{pr}}$ has codimension at least two in $X$.

Let $x\in N$ be on a nonzero closed orbit with isotropy group $L\neq\{e\}$. Then the nontrivial part of the slice representation of $L$, see [19], is of the form $L\to \mathrm{GL}(U\oplus U^*)$ where $L$ is finite and acts nontrivially on $U$. Thus the image of $L$ in $\mathrm{GL}(U\oplus U^*)$ is not generated by pseudoreflections and by the converse to Chevalley's theorem, $X$ is not smooth at the image of $x$. Hence, except perhaps for the image $x_0$ of $0\in N$, we have that $X_{\mathrm{pr}} = X_{\mathrm{sm}}$. But $x_0$ is a singular point of $X$ by Lemma 2.3 so that $X_{\mathrm{pr}} = X_{\mathrm{sm}}$. □

With this, we proceed with the following.

*Proof of Theorem 1.5.* Assume that there is a linear symplectic orbifold $W/H$ and a regular diffeomorphism $\chi\colon\ M_0\to W/H$ so that $\chi^*\colon\ \mathbb{R}[W]^H\to\mathbb{R}[V]^K/\mathcal{J}^K$ is an isomorphism. Tensoring with $\mathbb{C}$ yields an isomorphism $\chi_{\mathbb{C}}^*\colon\ \mathbb{C}[W\oplus W^*]^H\to\mathbb{C}[V\oplus V^*]^G/\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{C}}^G$ and hence a corresponding isomorphism $\chi_{\mathbb{C}}\colon\ X\to Y := (W\oplus W^*)/H$. As above, one has that $Y_{\mathrm{sm}} = Y_{\mathrm{pr}}$, see [25, Theorem 9.12]. Hence $\chi_{\mathbb{C}}$ induces an

isomorphism of $X_{\mathrm{pr}}$ and $Y_{\mathrm{pr}}$. Since the complement of $(W \oplus W^*)_{\mathrm{pr}}$ has complex codimension two in $(W \oplus W^*)$, $(W \oplus W^*)_{\mathrm{pr}}$ is simply connected and $\pi_1(Y_{\mathrm{pr}}) \simeq H$ is finite. Similarly, $\pi_2(Y_{\mathrm{pr}}) = 0$.

The quotient mapping $N_{\mathrm{pr}} \to X_{\mathrm{pr}}$ is a $G$-fibration and we have the exact sequence

$$\underbrace{\pi_2(G)}_{=0} \to \pi_2(N_{\mathrm{pr}}) \to \underbrace{\pi_2(X_{\mathrm{pr}})}_{=0} \to \underbrace{\pi_1(G)}_{=\mathbb{Z}} \to \pi_1(N_{\mathrm{pr}}) \to \underbrace{\pi_1(X_{\mathrm{pr}})}_{=H}$$

from which we conclude that $\pi_2(N_{\mathrm{pr}}) = 0$ and that $\pi_1(N_{\mathrm{pr}})$ is infinite.

From Equation (4.1), one easily checks that the origin is the only singular point of $N$, and moreover that the set of regular points $N^* := N \smallsetminus \{0\}$ is invariant under the standard action of $G$ on $V \oplus V^*$ by scalar multiplication. As $N^*$ is smooth and $N^* \smallsetminus N_{\mathrm{pr}}$ has codimension 2 in $N^*$, we have by Lemma 2.4 that $\pi_2(N^*) = \pi_2(N_{\mathrm{pr}}) = 0$ and $\pi_1(N^*) = \pi_1(N_{\mathrm{pr}})$ is infinite. We have a fibration $G \to N^* \to Q$ where $Q$ is a smooth hypersurface of $\mathbb{CP}^{2n-1}$. Recalling that $n \geq 3$, we have by the Lefschetz hyperplane section theorem that $\pi_2(Q) = \pi_2(\mathbb{CP}^{2n-1}) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\pi_1(Q) = \pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^{2n-1}) = 0$. From the homotopy exact sequence for fibrations, we have

$$\underbrace{\pi_2(N^*)}_{=0} \to \underbrace{\pi_2(Q)}_{=\mathbb{Z}} \xrightarrow{k} \underbrace{\pi_1(G)}_{=\mathbb{Z}} \to \pi_1(N^*) \to \underbrace{\pi_1(Q)}_{=0}$$

where $k$ is a nonnegative integer. But then $k > 0$ so that $\pi_1(N^*)$ is finite, yielding a contradiction and completing the proof. □

## 5. Symplectic quotients by $\mathrm{SU}_2$

In this section, we consider $K = \mathrm{SU}_2$ and hence $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Let $R_d$ denote the unitary $K$-module of binary forms of degree $d$. It is well known that every irreducible complex $K$-module is isomorphic to $R_d$ for a positive integer $d$; see [29, Section 15.6] or [4, Section 4.2]. The same classification holds for irreducible $G$-modules.

We consider nontrivial $G$-modules $V$ with $V^G = \{0\}$. Then, up to isomorphism, the non 2-principal cases are given by the following list.

$$kR_1 \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq 2, \quad R_2, \quad 2R_2, \quad R_2 \oplus R_1, \quad R_3, \quad \text{and} \quad R_4. \tag{5.1}$$

In particular, [25, Theorem 11.9] demonstrates that every $G$-module not on this list is 2-large except for $3R_1$. Recall that a module which is 2-large must be 2-principal and have FPIG. Though $3R_1$ is merely 1-large, one easily checks that it is still 2-principal. Hence, by Theorem 1.1, only the symplectic quotients associated to the modules on the list may admit a symplectomorphism to a linear symplectic orbifold.

For a unitary $G$-module $V$, we let $\mathcal{J}$ denote the vanishing ideal of the moment map $J\colon V \to \mathfrak{k}^*$ in $\mathbb{R}[V]$ and $\mathcal{J}^K = \mathcal{J} \cap \mathbb{R}[V]^K$ as above. We note further that by [12, Theorems 2.2 and 3.4], every nontrivial representation of $G$ is 1-large, implying that the components of the moment map generate $\mathcal{J}$, except for $R_1$, $2R_1$, and $R_2$.

To prove Theorem 1.6, we will determine which representations listed in Equation (5.1) admit $\mathbb{Z}$-graded regular symplectomorphisms to linear symplectic orbifolds. We first consider the cases for which such a symplectomorphism does not exist.

First recall the following. Let $W$ be a real representation of the finite group $H$, and suppose $W$ decomposes into irreducibles as $W = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} \mu_j W_j$ where the $W_j$

are pairwise nonisomorphic. Then the quadratic invariants in $\mathbb{R}[W]^H$ are naturally in bijection with the symmetric 2-tensors in the irreducible representations. In particular, there are $\sum_{j=1}^{s}\binom{\mu_j+1}{2}$ linearly independent quadratic invariants.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let $K = \mathrm{SU}_2$ and $G = \mathrm{SL}_2$, and let $V$ be a unitary $K$-module that is isomorphic to $2R_2$ or $R_2 \oplus R_1$. Then the symplectic quotient $M_0$ associated to $V$ does not admit a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded regular symplectomorphism to a linear symplectic orbifold.*

*Proof.* $\boldsymbol{2R_2}$: Let $V = 2R_2$. It is easy to see that the scalar $-1 \in \mathrm{SU}_2$ acts trivially on $V$. Noting that $R_2$ is isomorphic as an $\mathrm{SL}_2$-module to the adjoint representation of $\mathrm{SL}_2$, it then follows that $V$ is isomorphic as a real $\mathrm{SU}_2/\{\pm 1\} \simeq \mathrm{SO}_3$-module to $4\mathbb{R}^3$ where each $\mathbb{R}^3$ carries the standard representation of $\mathrm{SO}_3$. By the first fundamental theorem of invariant theory for $\mathrm{SO}_3$, see [27, Section 9.3], $\mathbb{R}[4\mathbb{R}^3]^{\mathrm{SO}_3}$ contains ten linearly independent quadratic invariants given by the invariant scalar products. As the moment map is equivariant so that the components of the moment map are not invariant, the quadratic invariants remain linearly independent in the ring $\mathbb{R}[M_0]$ of regular functions.

Assume that there is a finite group $H$, a (complex) 3-dimensional unitary $H$-module $W$, and a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded regular symplectomorphism from $M_0$ to $W/H$. Then $\mathbb{R}[W]^H$ must as well contain ten linearly independent quadratic invariants. Considering the possible decompositions of $W$ into irreducible real representations, it must be that $W \simeq 3W_1 \oplus 2W_2 \oplus W_3$ where the $W_j$ are pairwise nonisomorphic and 1-dimensional. But this is not the decomposition of a unitary $W$, yielding a contradiction.

$\boldsymbol{R_2 \oplus R_1}$: Let $V = R_2 \oplus R_1$. In this case, one computes that there are four linearly independent quadratic generators of $\mathbb{R}[V]^K$, which as above remain linearly independent in $\mathbb{R}[M_0]$. Assume that there is a finite group $H$, a (complex) 2-dimensional unitary $H$-module $W$, and a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded regular diffeomorphism from $M_0$ to $W/H$. Then $\mathbb{R}[W]^H$ contains four linearly independent quadratic generators. As a real representation, we either have that $W$ decomposes into four distinct 1-dimensional representations or $W \simeq 2W_1 \oplus W_2$ with $W_1$ of dimension 1 and $W_2$ of dimension 2. The former case is not the real decompositions of a unitary $W$, so consider the latter case. Then $H$ acts on $W_1$ as multiplication by $-1$ and on $W_2$ as a cyclic group of rotations. Therefore, $W/H$ has two real codimension two strata given by the images of $(2W_1)/H$ and $W_2/H$. On the other hand, $M_0$ has only one codimension two stratum corresponding to the fixed points of $\mathbb{S}^1 < \mathrm{SU}_2$. As a symplectomorphism preserves orbit type strata by [26, Proposition 3.3] as above, we have reached a contradiction. □

*Remark* 5.2. Note further that the arguments given in Proposition 5.1 along with observations about the stratifications can be used to demonstrate that none of the symplectic quotients considered admit orbit type stratum-preserving $\mathbb{Z}$-graded regular diffeomorphisms to linear orbifolds (which need not be symplectic or arise from unitary representations).

We now turn to the representations listed in Equation (5.1) that do admit a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded regular symplectomorphism to an orbifold.

**Proposition 5.3.** *The symplectic quotient associated to $R_4$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded regularly symplectomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^2/H$ where $H \simeq S_3$, the symmetric group on 3-letters, with the standard diagonal action on $\mathbb{C}^2 \simeq \mathbb{R}^2 \oplus \mathbb{R}^2$.*

*Proof.* The complex $K$-module $R_4$ is $U \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ where $U$ is a real $\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R}) \simeq K/(\pm I)$-module. One can identify $U$ with the set of real trace 0 symmetric $3 \times 3$ matrices with the conjugation action of $\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R})$. It is well-known that the principal isotropy group $L$ of $U$ in $\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R})$ consists of the diagonal elements with entries $\pm 1$, hence is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. The fixed point set of $L$ is 2-dimensional and $H := N_{\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R})}(L)/L \simeq S_3$ with its standard action on $\mathbb{R}^2 \simeq U^L$. Similarly, considering the $\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{C})$-action on $R_4$, we have the same principal isotropy group and corresponding action of $H$ on $(R_4)^L \simeq \mathbb{C}^2$. By the Luna-Richardson theorem [18] we have isomorphisms $\mathbb{C}[(R_4)^L]^H \simeq \mathbb{C}[R_4]^G$ and $\mathbb{R}[U^L]^H \simeq \mathbb{R}[U]^K$.

As usual, let $M$ denote the zeroes of the moment mapping on $R_4$. Then we have a homeomorphism of $M/K$ with $R_4/\!\!/G$. It then follows from the Luna-Richardson theorem that the inclusion $M^L \to (R_4)^L$ induces a homeomorphism of $M^L/H$ with $(R_4)^L/H$. This implies that $M^L = (R_4)^L$ and that $\mathbb{R}[M]^K$ restricts injectively to $\mathbb{R}[(R_4)^L]^H$. Now by Weyl [28, Ch. 2 §3], the $H = S_3$ invariants on $(R_4)^L \simeq \mathbb{R}^2 \oplus \mathbb{R}^2$ are generated by the polarizations of the invariants on a single copy of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Such invariants all lift to $\mathbb{R}[U \oplus U]^K$ since $\mathbb{R}[U]^K \simeq \mathbb{R}[\mathbb{R}^2]^H$. Thus $M_0 = M/K$ is regularly $\mathbb{Z}$-graded diffeomorphic to $(R_4)^L/H$.

We need to show that $M_0$ and $(R_4)^L/H$ are symplectomorphic. But this is automatic. The $K$-invariant symplectic form on $R_4$ restricts to an $H$-invariant symplectic form on $(R_4)^L$ by [10, Lemma 27.1]. If $f$ and $f'$ are $K$-invariant smooth functions on $R_4$, then on $(R_4)^L$ the differentials of the functions have to be $L$-fixed. Hence the Poisson bracket of $f$ and $f'$ on $R_4$ restricts to the Poisson bracket of the restrictions of $f$ and $f'$ to $(R_4)^L$. □

*Remark* 5.4. Suppose that $V = R_2$. Then a similar (and easier) argument shows that $M_0$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded regularly symplectomorphic to $\mathbb{C}/(\pm 1)$; see also [9].

One cannot use the Luna-Richardson theorem to get the desired result in the case of $R_3$ since the normalizer of a principal isotropy group is not finite. Hence, we instead use the following.

Recall [7], see also [6] or [27, Section 8.6], that if $G$ is a connected reductive group, then the complex $G$-module $V$ is *polar* if there is a *Cartan subspace* of $V$, a linear subspace $\mathfrak{c}$ of $V$ such that each element of $\mathfrak{c}$ has closed $G$-orbit, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{c} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V/\!\!/G$, and the tangent spaces to the orbits coincide on a Zariski open subset of $\mathfrak{c}$ (identifying each tangent space with a subspace of $V$). Let $N_G(\mathfrak{c})$ denote the subgroup of $G$ that fixes the set $\mathfrak{c}$ and let $Z_G(\mathfrak{c})$ denote the subgroup of $G$ that fixes each point in $\mathfrak{c}$. By [7, Lemma 2.7 and Theorem 2.9], the group $\Gamma := N_G(\mathfrak{c})/Z_G(\mathfrak{c})$ is finite and the restriction to $\mathfrak{c}$ induces an isomorphism between the complex GIT quotients $V/\!\!/G$ and $\mathfrak{c}/\Gamma$. If $\dim V/\!\!/G = 1$, then $V$ is automatically polar.

**Proposition 5.5.** *The symplectic quotient associated to $R_3$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded regularly symplectomorphic to the orbifold $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}_4 = \mathbb{C}/\langle i \rangle$.*

*Proof.* Let $V = R_3$ and $G = \mathrm{SL}_2$. Then it is classical that $\mathbb{C}[V]^G = \mathbb{C}[f]$ where $f$ is homogeneous of degree 4. Thus $V$ is polar, $\mathfrak{c}$ has dimension one and $\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$. Using the usual weight basis $v_3$, $v_1$, $v_{-1}$ and $v_{-3}$ on $V$ we may choose $\mathfrak{c}$ to be the span of $v := v_3 + v_{-3}$. Then one calculates directly that $\mathfrak{g}(v)$ is perpendicular to $v$ relative to the hermitian form on $V$. Hence $v \in M$ and $\mathfrak{c} = \mathbb{C} \cdot v \subset M$. (One could just choose $v$ to be any nonzero point of $M$.) Since every closed $G$-orbit intersects $\mathfrak{c}$, we must have that $M = K \cdot \mathfrak{c}$. Hence $M_0 = M/K \simeq V/\!\!/G \simeq \mathfrak{c}/\Gamma$ and $\mathbb{R}[M]^K$ injects into $\mathbb{R}[\mathfrak{c}]^\Gamma$. But $\mathbb{R}[\mathfrak{c}]^\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{c} \oplus \mathfrak{c}^*]^\Gamma$ is generated by invariants

bihomogeneous of degrees $(4,0)$, $(0,4)$ and $(1,1)$ which obviously have lifts to $\mathbb{R}[V]^K$ since $V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \simeq V \oplus V$. Hence $\mathbb{R}[\mathfrak{c}]^\Gamma$ lifts to $\mathbb{R}[M]^K$ and $\mathfrak{c} \to M$ induces a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded regular diffeomorphism of $\mathfrak{c}/\Gamma$ and $M_0 = M/K$.

Now $\langle v, v\rangle \neq 0$ where $\langle\,,\rangle$ is the hermitian form on $V$. Moreover, if $f \in \mathbb{R}[M]^K$, then $df(0) = 0$ and $df(v)$ annihilates $\mathfrak{k} \cdot v$ which is the perpendicular subspace to $\mathfrak{c}$ in $T_v M$. One has the analogous result at any nonzero point of $\mathfrak{c}$. Hence $\mathfrak{c} \to M$ induces a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded regular symplectomorphism of $\mathfrak{c}/\Gamma$ and $M_0$. □

The symplectic quotient associated to $R_1$ is a point, and the quotient associated to $2R_1$ is one-dimensional, hence polar, and we can use the arguments above to show that it is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded regularly symplectomorphic to $\mathbb{C}/(\pm 1)$. The case of $2R_1$ is also handled in [1, Examples 7.6 and 7.13]. Then as the other representations listed in Equation (5.1) are treated in Propositions 5.1, 5.3, 5.5 and Remark 5.4 we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.6.

*Remark* 5.6. We note that the algebra isomorphisms inducing the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded regular symplectomorphisms described in Propositions 5.3 and 5.5 can be computed explicitly, and were suggested by the observation that the Hilbert series coincide in [13]. In particular, the algorithm of Bedratyuk [3] yields the complex $\mathrm{SL}_2$-invariants. Taking real and imaginary parts and eliminating using the moment map, one can compute generators for $\mathbb{R}[M_0]$ in each case. For $R_3$, this yields one generator of degree 2 and two of degree 4; for $R_4$, this yields three generators of degree 2 and four of degree 3. Generating sets of the same degrees for the corresponding orbifolds can be computed using standard techniques, and simple computations involving the Poisson brackets can be used to determine the isomorphisms in terms of the generators. That the resulting maps are homeomorphisms of the corresponding (semialgebraic) orbit spaces can determined by comparing the inequalities (or, more simply, the symmetric matrices determining the inequalities), computed using the methods of [21].

## References


1. Judith M. Arms, Mark J. Gotay, and George Jennings, *Geometric and algebraic reduction for singular momentum maps*, Adv. Math. **79** (1990), no. 1, 43–103.
2. J. Basto Gonçalves, *Reduction of Hamiltonian systems with symmetry*, J. Differential Equations **94** (1991), no. 1, 95–111.
3. Leonid Bedratyuk, *The MAPLE package for $SL_2$-invariants and kernel of Weitzenböck derivations*, (2011), `arXiv:1101.0622 [math.AG]`.
4. Rolf Berndt, *Representations of linear groups*, Vieweg, Wiesbaden, 2007, An introduction based on examples from physics and number theory.
5. Winfried Bruns and Jürgen Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 39, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
6. Jiri Dadok, *Polar coordinates induced by actions of compact Lie groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **288** (1985), no. 1, 125–137.
7. Jiri Dadok and Victor Kac, *Polar representations*, J. Algebra **92** (1985), no. 2, 504–524.
8. Carla Farsi, Hans-Christian Herbig, and Christopher Seaton, *On orbifold criteria for symplectic toric quotients*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **9** (2013), Paper 032, 18.
9. Mark J. Gotay and Len Bos, *Singular angular momentum mappings*, J. Differential Geom. **24** (1986), no. 2, 181–203.
10. Victor Guillemin and Shlomo Sternberg, *Symplectic techniques in physics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984.

11. Hans-Christian Herbig, Srikanth B. Iyengar, and Markus J. Pflaum, *On the existence of star products on quotient spaces of linear Hamiltonian torus actions*, Lett. Math. Phys. **89** (2009), no. 2, 101–113.
12. Hans-Christian Herbig and Gerald W. Schwarz, *The Koszul complex of a moment map*, J. Symplectic Geom. **11** (2013), no. 3, 497–508.
13. Hans-Christian Herbig and Christopher Seaton, *The Hilbert series of a linear symplectic circle quotient*, to appear in Experimental Mathematics (2013), arXiv:1302.2662 [math.SG].
14. ———, *An impossibility theorem for linear symplectic circle quotients*, (2013), arXiv:1310.0414 [math.SG].
15. George Kempf and Linda Ness, *The length of vectors in representation spaces*, Algebraic geometry (Proc. Summer Meeting, Univ. Copenhagen, Copenhagen, 1978), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 732, Springer, Berlin, 1979, pp. 233–243.
16. Frank Kutzschebauch and Frank Loose, *Real analytic structures on a symplectic manifold*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), no. 10, 3009–3016 (electronic).
17. Eugene Lerman, Richard Montgomery, and Reyer Sjamaar, *Examples of singular reduction*, Symplectic geometry, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 192, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993, pp. 127–155.
18. D. Luna and R. W. Richardson, *A generalization of the Chevalley restriction theorem*, Duke Math. J. **46** (1979), no. 3, 487–496.
19. Domingo Luna, *Slices étales*, Sur les groupes algébriques, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1973, pp. 81–105. Bull. Soc. Math. France, Paris, Mémoire 33.
20. Dae Heui Park and Dong Youp Suh, *Equivariant semi-algebraic triangulations of real algebraic G-varieties*, Kyushu J. Math. **50** (1996), no. 1, 179–205.
21. Claudio Procesi and Gerald Schwarz, *Inequalities defining orbit spaces*, Invent. Math. **81** (1985), no. 3, 539–554.
22. C. P. Rourke and B. J. Sanderson, *Introduction to piecewise-linear topology*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 69.
23. Gerald W. Schwarz, *Lifting smooth homotopies of orbit spaces*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1980), no. 51, 37–135.
24. ———, *The topology of algebraic quotients*, Topological methods in algebraic transformation groups (New Brunswick, NJ, 1988), Progr. Math., vol. 80, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1989, pp. 135–151.
25. ———, *Lifting differential operators from orbit spaces*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **28** (1995), no. 3, 253–305.
26. Reyer Sjamaar and Eugene Lerman, *Stratified symplectic spaces and reduction*, Ann. of Math. (2) **134** (1991), no. 2, 375–422.
27. È. B. Vinberg and V. L. Popov, *Invariant theory*, Algebraic geometry. IV, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 55, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994, Linear algebraic groups. Invariant theory, A translation of ıt Algebraic geometry. 4 (Russian), Akad. Nauk SSSR Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 1989 [ MR1100483 (91k:14001)], Translation edited by A. N. Parshin and I. R. Shafarevich, pp. vi+284.
28. Hermann Weyl, *The Classical Groups. Their Invariants and Representations*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1939.
29. Eugene P. Wigner, *Group theory: And its application to the quantum mechanics of atomic spectra*, Expanded and improved ed. Translated from the German by J. J. Griffin. Pure and Applied Physics. Vol. 5, Academic Press, New York, 1959.

Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Sokolovská 83, 186 75 Praha 8, Czech Republic
*E-mail address*: herbig@imf.au.dk

Department of Mathematics, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA 02454-9110, USA
*E-mail address*: schwarz@brandeis.edu

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Rhodes College, 2000 N. Parkway, Memphis, TN 38112, USA
*E-mail address*: seatonc@rhodes.edu